Introduction

presented a challenge in the development and improvement of numerical simulation of atmospheric allenging is the simulation of mixed-phase cloud structures, primarily because of their complex evoluecycle. In order to better understand the lifecycles of mixed-phase arctic clouds, particularly how these lifecycles are r group is providing high-resolution simulations and observations of these cloud structures. These efforts epresent a portion of the work being completed by the ARM Cloud Parameterization and Modeling workgroup to improve pa rizations of mixed phase cloud layers at high latitudes in climate scale models.

n on the observational side. The University of Wisconsin Arctic High-Spectral Resoluar (AHSRL) has been deployed in Barrow, AK as part of the Mixed-Phase Arctic Clouds Experiment (M-PACE), and in Eureka, Canada as a part of the long-term Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH). These deployments have been as a part of usters, and we are still working on gaining additional information out of combined instrument measurements s proven to be very beneficial is that of the AHSRL and a NOAA-ETL Millimeter Cloud Radar (MMCR). Tothese instruments lead to effective retrieval of important microphysical properties. These retrievals mirror those implemented by Donovan and Van Lammeren (2001) and have been modified and updated by our group. Some examples and a brief overview are shown below.

The next step is proper simulation of these situations. Preliminary simulations have done an excellent job of outlining the challenge, with ice microphysics wreaking havoc on the structure of these clouds. Main points of interest will be understanding the general cloud dynamics, as well as understanding and documenting the role that ice nuclei (IN) and cloud nuclei (CCN) in the cor on of these clouds. To do this, we are using the University of Wisconsin Non-Hydrostatic Modelin which has been updated to include the Spectral Habitat Ice Prediction System (SHIPS, Hashino and Trip

The Challenge

Improving simulation capabilities in the area of mixed phase stratus clouds. Below are shown cloud and vapor mixing ratio from simulations without ice (top) and with ice (bottom). When ice is added, the cloud strucutre is depleted by the presence of ice. Observations show these mixedphase scenarios to be long-lived, however (see lidar and radar observations, right).



References

, Theory and examples. Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. 106, 27425-27448, 2001 Eloranta, E.W., et al. A High Spectral Resolution Lidar for Operation in the Arctic. Atmospheric Radia-tion Measurement (ARM) Program Science Team Meeting, April 8-11, 2002, St Petersburg, FL

Fripoli, G.J., A Non-Hydrostatic Mesoscale Model Designed to Simulate Scale Interaction. Monthly Weather Review, 120, 1342-1359, 1992.

Contact Information

Gijs de Boer, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, The University of Wisconsin - Madison, 1225 West Dayton Street, Madison, WI 53706. e-mail: gdeboer@wisc.edu







Observations and Cloud-Resolving Simulations of Arctic Stratus

Gijs de Boer, Gregory J. Tripoli, Edwin W. Eloranta, Tempei Hashino



Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences The University of Wisconsin - Madison

M-PACE Observations from Barrow, AK

October 8, 2004









Retrieval Method

The technique used mirrors that introduced by Donovan and Van Lammeren (2001), and has been modified by Eloranta to work with the available instruments. Visually outlined below, it utilizes the backscatter cross-sections from both the AHSRL and MMCR to estimate an effective particle size. From there, number density and water contents are estimated. The figure above outlines the steps in the retrieval process. Note that the water only retreival is shown to be semi transparent. This is because there is very little contribution to the radar backscatter cross-section in mixed phase situations.



Because we are not able to measure individual particles, we must make an assumption about the distribution of particles in the scattering volume. Here, a modified Gamma distribution has been used:

$$n(D) = aD^{\alpha} e^{-bD^{\gamma}}$$

Also, we make assumptions about the relationships between particle diameter and volume and area:

$$V = \sigma_V \frac{\pi}{6} D^{3-\delta_V} D^{\delta_V} \qquad A = \sigma_A \frac{\pi}{4} D^{2-\delta_A} D^{\delta_A}$$

The plots below compare these retrieval techniques to in situ measurements:









SEARCH Observations from Eureka, Canada













0 100 200 300 400 Effective Diameter (μm)





